

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/006,963 | 12/05/2001 | Curtis L. Krause | 12801.0083.NPUS02 TEXS:08 | 1830 | |
| 26361 7 | 590 07/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| STEPHEN H. | | HANDAL, KAITY V | | | |
| | MON, ARNOLD & WI | HITE, LLP | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 750 BERING I | DRIVE | | AKTONII | PAPER NUMBER | |
| HOUSTON, T | X 77057 | | 1764 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/006,963 | KRAUSE ET AL. | | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Kaity Handal | 1764 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ice with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this | | in the final rejection wh | ishawar ia latar In | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. | hut naine to the date of films a baid | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | • | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | ampliant Amondment | (DTOL 224) | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | • | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b | ut before or on the date of filing a N | otice of Appeal will no | ot be entered | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered been See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all the arguments in the After Final have been previously addressed in the Final Office Action of 4/17/2006.

ALEXA DOROSHENK NECKEI PRIMARY EXAMINER